

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/646,818 | 08/25/2003 | Masaru Inoue | 031058 | 1815 |
| 23850 | 23850 7590 03/09/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| ARMSTRO | NG, KRATZ, QUIN | HEINRICH, SAMUEL M | | |
| 1725 K STRI | EET, NW | | | |
| SUITE 1000 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC 20006 | | 1725 | |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC 20006 | | 1725 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | in / | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | | 10/646,818 | INOUE ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Samuel M Heinrich | 1725 | | | | |
| Pe | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or ele | ection requirement. | | | | | |
| Αŗ | pplication Papers | · . | | | | | |
| | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| | 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Pr | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | ` |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | , | | | | |
| Att | achment(s) | | | | | | |
| | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) | Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | | | | | |
| >) (| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | aton Application (FTO-132) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/646,818

Art Unit: 1725

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to methods of using a laser.
- II. Claim 6, drawn to an article of manufacture.
- III. Claims 7 and 8, drawn to laser apparatus.
- IV. Claim 9, drawn to computer program.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and Inventions II-IV are related as process (I) and apparatus/article/program (II-IV) of practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced with another different apparatus/article/program or by hand, or (2) the apparatus/article/program as claimed can be used with another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus/article/program can be used to practice other methods such as a textured feature or a marked feature.

Article Invention II and Inventions I, III, and IV are related as product made and process/apparatus of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process/apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a process comprising a high energy beam other than a laser.

Inventions III and Inventions I, II, and IV are related as apparatus and product/process made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of

Application/Control Number: 10/646,818

Art Unit: 1725

the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus can be used for texturing a workpiece.

Inventions IV and Inventions I-III are related as program and apparatus/process/article for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the program as claimed can be practiced with another different apparatus/process/article or by hand, or (2) the apparatus/process/article as claimed can be made by or practiced with another and different program. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the program can be used with other apparatus for producing articles having a texture or marking.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for the Groups are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/646,818

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M. Heinrich March 07, 2005

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725